

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,747	08/08/2006	Alastair Robert Buckley	BHJ14USA	4270
270 7 HOWSON AND	7590 04/27/2007 O HOWSON	EXAMINER		
SUITE 210		CHEN, BRET P		
501 OFFICE CENTER DRIVE FT WASHINGTON, PA 19034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/551,747	BUCKLEY, ALASTAIR ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	B. Chen	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the	application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2005 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
	_					
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12 are pending in this application, which is a 371 of PCT/GB04/01469.

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making,
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Art Unit: 1762

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed to a method and apparatus. The examiner suggests amending the abstract to reflect same.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 line 3, the term "free surface" is vague and confusing as to what said term means. What is the difference between surface and free surface? Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested.

In claim 2 line 2, the term "relative rotation" is deemed vague and confusing as to what said term means. What is the difference between rotation and relative rotation? Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,747

Art Unit: 1762

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Temple et al. (4,882,198).

Temple discloses a method and apparatus for producing a vacuum deposited thin film having improved thin film characteristics by placing a substrate on a substrate holder 41 mounted within the vacuum chamber 29; positioning an electrically conductive crucible 30 which contains a preselected material within said vacuum chamber for evaporation onto a substrate; utilizing a high voltage electron beam source which includes a high voltage electron gun 31 and a deflection magnet system arranged for bending electrons from said gun into said crucible for evaporating the preselected material; and utilizing additionally a low voltage, high current plasma source 32 to produce selective activation of the evaporant material toward the substrate (col.2 line 63 - col.3 line 5). The crucible can be rotated to ensure that the electron beam will strike all portions of the material within the crucible (col.10 line 63 - col.11 line 14). In one embodiment, a spatter shield 40 is interposed between the crucible 30 and the substrate 41 in order to confine the plasma and the evaporant species which permits the evaporation process to be controlled without turning off the electron beam or the low voltage source (col.7 lines 10-19). However, the reference remains silent on whether the shield is opaque.

It is noted that Temple specifically teaches the use of a shield to prevent the evaporant species to contact the substrate. One skilled in the art would realize that the material would be

Art Unit: 1762

irrelevant as long as it does not react with the material and can handle high temperature situations. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize an opaque shield with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

The limitations of claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-12 have been addressed above.

Aichert et al. (4,192,253) has been cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bc 4/26/07

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER